UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,636	07/05/2005	John Michael Roll	1025-P03655US00(AAF-02)	4093
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET			EXAMINER	
			ROST, ANDREW J	
	SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,636	ROLL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Andrew J. Rost	3753	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments regarding the biasing aspects of Tychsen (WO 98/15788) and the subsequent modification in view of Gordon (3,707,987) are not persuasive. The spring element (36) of Tychsen is disclosed as a lifting spring. However, "the lifting spring is designed for applying only a small force which may easily be overcome by any substantial back pressure on the valve, i.e. a pressure at the valve outlet exceeding the pressure at the valve inlet. In the preferred embodiment a pressure differential of 0.05 Bars is sufficient to hold the valve cone tightly in its seat regardless of the position of the valve stem" (page 9, line 38 to page 10 line 7). Therefore, the valve cone (22) is held against the valve seat as long as a pressure differential of 0.05 Bars is present between the valve outlet and the valve inlet. The modification of the spring element to a bias closed element as taught by Gordon increases the pressure differential needed between valve inlet and the valve outlet in order to move the valve cone away from the usually seated position. Furthermore, the changing of a biasing element from a normal open bias to a normal closed bias requires only routine skill in the art.

Applicant's arguments regarding the use of a single reference (in this case Gordon) to provide multiple teachings are not persuasive. Gordon teaches the use of a shut-off means (56) in order to maintain a valve assembly in the closed position (col. 3, lines 27-30). Gordon further teaches the use of a spring element biasing a valve member toward a valve seat so that the valve member functions as a check valve in which a pressure differential is needed between the valve inlet and the valve outlet in order to open the valve assembly. Gordon provides multiple teaches along with associated motivations for each teaching. Therefore, applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

ASK 7 SANDARY 2008